CORRECTION

Correction: Measurement properties of PROMIS short forms for pain and function in patients receiving knee arthroplasty

Anika Stephan[1*] , Vincent A. Stadelmann[1], Stefan Preiss[2] and Franco M. Impellizzeri[1,3]

Correction: Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes (2023) 7:18
https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-023-00559-x

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified that the original colours did not display correctly in Table 2. The correct table is given below.

The original article [1] has been updated.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-023-00559-x.

*Correspondence:
Anika Stephan
anika.stephan@kws.ch
[1] Department of Teaching, Research and Development – Lower Extremities, Schulthess Clinic, Lengghalde 2, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland
[2] Knee Surgery, Schulthess Clinic, Lengghalde 2, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland
[3] Faculty of Health, University of Technology Sydney, PO Box 123, Broadway, NSW 2007, Australia

Stephan *et al. Journal of Patient-Reported Outcomes*   (2023) 7:47

Page 2 of 3

Table 2 Correlations between PROMIS scales and the OKS

			Correlation with OKS	Hypothesis testing group
PROMIS PAIN	Baseline	Total:	− 0.69 (− 0.77 to − 0.58)[a]	Construct validity
		Men:	− 0.65 (− 0.78 to − 0.47)[b]	Construct validity
		Women:	− 0.72 (− 0.82 to − 0.60)[a]	Construct validity
	12 months	Total:	− 0.69 (− 0.78 to − 0.57)[a]	Construct validity
		Men:	− 0.64 (− 0.77 to − 0.45)[a]	Construct validity
		Women:	− 0.71 (− 0.84 to − 0.53)[a]	Construct validity
	Change	Total:	− 0.66 (− 0.75 to − 0.54)[b]	Responsiveness
		Men:	− 0.60 (− 0.75 to − 0.38)[b]	Responsiveness
		Women:	− 0.70 (− 0.80 to − 0.56)[b]	Responsiveness
PROMIS PI	Baseline	Total:	− 0.78 (− 0.84 to − 0.70)	Construct validity
		Men:	− 0.78 (− 0.87 to − 0.65)[b]	Construct validity
		Women:	− 0.78 (− 0.85 to − 0.68)[b]	Construct validity
	12 months	Total:	− 0.72 (− 0.82 to − 0.60)[a]	Construct validity
		Men:	− 0.71 (− 0.83 to − 0.53)[a]	Construct validity
		Women:	− 0.72 (− 0.86 to − 0.55)[a]	Construct validity
	Change	Total:	− 0.60 (− 0.70 to − 0.47)[b]	Responsiveness
		Men:	− 0.62 (− 0.77 to − 0.41)[b]	Responsiveness
		Women:	− 0.59 (− 0.72 to − 0.41)[b]	Responsiveness
PROMIS PF	Baseline	Total:	0.82 (0.74 to 0.88)[a]	Construct validity
		Men:	0.83 (0.69 to 0.91)[a]	Construct validity
		Women:	0.83 (0.75 to 0.89)[b]	Construct validity
	12 months	Total:	0.81 (0.70 to 0.88)[a]	Construct validity
		Men:	0.84 (0.74 to 0.91)[a]	Construct validity
		Women:	0.79 (0.64 to 0.89)[a]	Construct validity
	Change	Total:	0.49 (0.33 to 0.63)[a]	Responsiveness
		Men:	0.57 (0.35 to 0.74)[b]	Responsiveness
		Women:	0.44 (0.20 to 0.64)[a]	Responsiveness

Table 2 (continued)

PROMIS Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; *OKS* Oxford Knee Score; *PAIN* pain intensity; *PI* pain interference; *PF* physical function; black versus grey font color: the confidence interval of the correlation does not overlap/overlaps with the preset correlation threshold

[a] Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, r_s

[b] Pearson's correlation coefficient, r

Published online: 26 May 2023

Reference

1. Stephan A, Stadelmann VA, Preiss S et al (2023) Measurement properties of PROMIS short forms for pain and function in patients receiving knee arthroplasty. J Patient Rep Outcomes 7:18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-023-00559-x

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.